PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the	•
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY	EXAMINING AUTHORITY



OKABE, Masao

No.602, Fuji Bldg.,2-3, Marunouchi 3-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 1000005 Japan

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINAR EXAMINING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 66)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 07. 6. 2005

REPLY DUE Applicant's or agent's file reference months from within CF018122WO the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2004/006610 12.05.2003 11.05.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Int.Cl. G06F1/32, 3/12 Applicant CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA

1. The written opinion established by the International Searching Authority:				
		is	is not	
		considered to	be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority.	
2.	This	sec	(first, etc.) opinion contains indications relating to the following items:	
	区	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion	
		Box No. II	Priority	
	V	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Ĺ	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
		Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
		Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	<u> </u>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.			by invited to reply to this opinion.	
	When		ne limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority extension, see Rule 66.2(e).	
	How?	Py submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.		
	Also	For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.		
	If no	reply is filed, t	he international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.	
4. The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is 30.09.2005				

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer 5E 974		9741
Japan Patent Office	Ajima Tomoya	LJ	
3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan	Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext. 3521		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/006610

Box No	o. I	Basis of the opinion
	nich it was This o	to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in s filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. opinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language is is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (under Rules 12.4) international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
	_	I to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement ch have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this
орг	inion as "	'originally filed"):
[]	the inte	ernational application as originally filed/furnished
V	the des	scription:
	pages	1-26 as originally filed/furnished
	pages	received by this Authority on
	pages	received by this Authority on
V	the cla	nims:
•	Nos.	4, 8, 21 as originally filed/furnished
	Nos.	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	Nos.	1, 5, 9, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19 received by this Authority on 11.03.2005
	Nos.	20 received by this Authority on 11.03.2005
	tha de	awings:
	ets/figs	awings: 1-19 as originally filed/furnished
	ets/figs	received by this Authority on
	ets/figs	received by this Authority on
	a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. 🔽	The an	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description pages
	**	the claims, Nos. 2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15
		the drawings, sheets/figs
	<u> </u>	the sequence listing (specify:)
	Г	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4. Г		opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). the description, pages
	Γ	the claims, Nos.
	Γ	the drawings, sheets/figs
	Г	
	F	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
	5 .	any more(s) remind to sequence noting (specify).
		•

WRITTEN'OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/006610

Box No.	. III Non-establishmen	t of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive step a	nd industrial applicability
The que	stions whether the claimed invole have not been examined in r	rention appears to be novel, respect of:	to involve an inventive ste	p (to be non obvious), or to be industrially
Γ.	the entire international applic	ation,	•	
	claims Nos.			,
	because:	·		•
<u></u>	•	tion or the said claims Nos		
1	the said international applicate relate to the following subject			inary examination (specify):
	·		,	
	<i>:</i> ,		*.	
		•		
V	the description, claims or dra	awings (indicate particular e	lements below) or said clair	ns Nos.
	are so unclear that no meanin			
Ref	er to Supplemen	ıtal Box.	•	
	*		•	
				•
			. •	•
			·•	
-			4	
	•			
` []	the claims, or said claims Nos	9	•	are so inadequately supported
Parr	by the description that no mea		ormed	ue so manequari, cappoints
-	/ ·	the state of the s		
1	no international search report	has been established for sai	id claims Nos	
Γ.	the nucleotide and/or amino Administrative Instructions in		es not comply with the st	andard provided for in Annex C of the
	the written form	has not been fur	nished	
	We written to the		with the standard	
	the computer readable form	has not been furn	nished	
		does not comply	with the standard	
Г	the tables related to the nuc provided for in Annex C-bis			comply with the technical requirements er readable form:
has not been furnished				
		h the technical requirements	.	
_	See Supplemental Box for fur	ther details.		
			•	•

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/006610

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

No. III

The embodiment of the invention shown in figures 3 does not fall within the scope of the claims. This inconsistency between the claims and the description leads to doubt concerning the matter for which protection is sought, thereby rendering the claims unclear.

The term [based on] used in Claims 1, 13, 17 and 20 renders the definition of the subject matter of said claim unclear for the following reason: It does not have consistency in the portion in front of this term and the portion after this term.

The relative term [can be different from], used in claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 17, 18, 19 and 20 is vague and unclear, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear.

The relative term [StandbyQuery instruction], used in claims 4, 8 and 16 is vague and unclear, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear.